



**Asia-Pacific  
Economic Cooperation**

---

**2015/SOM3/CD/WKSP/015**

## **Case Study - Developing an Ecotoxicological Soil Screening Standard for Metals/Metalloids**

Submitted by: Australia



**Workshop on Metals Risk Assessment  
Cebu, Philippines  
28-29 August 2015**



## Case Study - Developing an ecotoxicological soil screening standard for metals/metalloids

**Problem Statement:** Metals occur naturally in the environment and the bioavailability of metals and metalloids varies according to soil physico-chemical conditions. Furthermore, soil-dwelling organisms and microbial processes vary in their sensitivity to metals/metalloids. Developing an ecotoxicological soil screening level for a metal/metalloid is therefore challenging as it needs to recognize these various issues.

**Scientific Issues:** The standard needs to consider background concentrations of metals/metalloids in soils as these vary widely across soils in different jurisdictions. There are various definitions of “background” that also need to be considered. The reaction of metals/metalloids with the soil solid phase generally reduces their bioavailability (compared to soluble metals/metalloids in solution) and this also varies as a function of various soil properties, such as pH, clay content, organic matter content, etc. The basis of how the soil standard will be measured also needs to be considered – will it be a standard based on total concentrations in soil or one based on a fractional measure of the metal/metalloid in soil? Biological issues such as adaptation to metals/metalloids, species sensitivity and protection of species *versus* functions also needs to be considered. The role of the screening standard in the risk assessment process also needs to be defined.

**Current risk assessment:** Several jurisdictions have soil screening standards based on total concentrations in soils and find these problematic for implementation. Recent advances in the science of metals/metalloid behaviour in soils has been incorporated into standards for soils in Europe and in Australia, and these try to address the issues raised above and provide a “best practice” given current scientific understanding. These approaches will be reviewed and the rationale for the choice of various options explained.

**Discussion questions:**

1. What is the best way to incorporate background concentrations into a risk assessment process?
2. How do we determine background concentrations of metals/metalloids in soils?
3. Should soil standards be based on total concentrations or on a partial extraction of soils to recognize differences in metal/metalloid bioavailability across soils?
4. What is the best way to recognize different sensitivities of soil organisms or soil processes to metals/metalloids?

**Key reading**

Heemsbergen, D.A., M.S.J. Warne, K. Broos, M. Bell, D. Nash, M. McLaughlin, et al. 2009. Application of phytotoxicity data to a new Australian soil quality guideline framework for biosolids. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 407: 2546-2556.

McLaughlin, M.J., R.E. Hamon, R.G. McLaren, T.W. Speir and S.L. Rogers. 2000. Review: A bioavailability-based rationale for controlling metal and metalloid contamination of agricultural land in Australia and New Zealand. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 38: 1037-1086.

NEPC. 2013. National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (2013 Amendment), Volume 7, Schedule B5b. Guideline on Methodology to Derive Ecological Investigation Levels in Contaminated Soils In: National Environment Protection Council, Australian Commonwealth Government.

Smolders, E., K. Oorts, P. Van Sprang, I. Schoeters, C.J. Janssen, S.P. McGrath, et al. 2009. Toxicity of trace metals in soil as affected by soil type and aging after contamination: Using calibrated bioavailability models to set ecological soil standards. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28: 1633-1642.